

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CEDRIC WOODS,

12 Plaintiff,

2:03-cv-1976-GEB-EFB-P

13 vs.

14 D.L. RUNNELS, et al.,

15 Defendants.


ORDER

16 _____/
17 On March 14, 2007, Plaintiff filed a request for
18 extension of time to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's
19 findings and recommendations. On March 15, 2007, an order was
20 filed adopting the findings and recommendations. On March 20,
21 2007, Plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the order
22 adopting the findings and recommendations.

23 Although Plaintiff has not shown when Plaintiff
24 delivered the request for an extension to prison officials for
25 filing and service, because the request for extension was signed
26 by Plaintiff on March 9, 2007, and because Plaintiff alleges the

1 request was timely filed, Plaintiff's request for an extension of
2 time to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's findings and
3 recommendations is granted. Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266, 270-
4 71 (1988); Faile v. Upjohn Co., 988 F.2d 985, 988 (9th Cir.
5 1993), disapproved on other grounds in McDowell v. Calderon, 197
6 F.3d 1253, 1255 (9th Cir. 1999); Caldwell v. Amend, 30 F.3d 1199,
7 1202 (9th Cir. 1994). The March 15, 2007 Order adopting the
8 findings and recommendations, and the judgment entered in
9 accordance therewith, are vacated. Plaintiff has until April 9,
10 2007 to file objections to the findings and recommendations.

11 Dated: March 22, 2007

12
13 
14 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26